UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,326	08/21/2003	Amel Amblard	8707-2161	7566
34313 7590 08/23/2007 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP			EXAMINER	
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT			EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT	
4 PARK PLAZ SUITE 1600	Α		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astrono	10/645,326	AMBLARD, AMEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
T	George R. Evanisko	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL 136(a). In no event, however, may a reput will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> A	<u> August 2007</u>				
	_				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific process of the specific process. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apportity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/645,326

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/9/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The subject matter which was not described in the original specification is the "means for preventing switching to a DDD pacing mode when a condition indicative of a suspected loss of atrial detection is detected" and similar "in order to prevent inappropriate switching to a DDD pacing mode" in combination with the other elements in the claims.

The original subject matter of the specification stated the opposite, that there is a means for preventing switching "from" a DDD pacing mode when a condition indicative of a suspected loss of atrial detection is detected. This can be seen on pages 2 and 3 stating "in which the

Art Unit: 3762

detection and correction of the defects of atrial capture or atrial under-detection are employed to avoid an inappropriate switch <u>out of</u> operating in a conventional DDD operating mode". This rejection is related to new matter.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 13, after "coupling interval" the comma (",") is vague since it sounds like the recitation following the comma is a separate element. The "and an occurrence..." should be part of the "one of" paragraph in line12. It is suggested to delete the comma.

In claim 14, line 10, ", and detecting a reduction..." is vague since it is unclear if it is part of the "means for detecting" in line 9. It is suggested to delete the comma and insert "for" between "and" and "detecting".

In claim 21, line 10, ", and a passage..." is vague. It is suggested to delete the comma and add "for detecting" and "and".

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Evanisko whose telephone number is 571 272 4945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571 272 4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

GRE 8/16/07